St Helen Auckland Community Primary School Pupil Premium Strategy Statement 2018 – 2019

1. Rationale

At St Helen Auckland Community Primary and Nursery School our practice is developed to ensure the individual needs of our pupils are met, including those of our most vulnerable pupils. As a result all our work, Pupil Premium Funding is allocated based upon our understanding of the needs of the individual pupils, ensuring that they benefit from individualised programmes based on accurate understanding of what support best suits each pupil. Through this we aim to overcome barriers to learning and accelerate progress so that these pupils achieve similar outcomes to their peers and diminish the difference between those pupil entitled to Pupil Premium and those who are not.

2. Reception – Year 6 Pup	il Premium Funding			
Pupils Eligible for PP Funding	Number of Eligible Boys	Number of Eligible Girls	Number of Looked After Children	Number of Service Children
68	Per Pupil £1,320 31 (£40,920)	Per Pupil £1,320 37 (£48,840)	Per Pupil £1,700 1 (£1,700)	Per Pupil £300 1 (£300)

^{*}Looked After Children receive £2,300 with £600 being retained centrally by the Local Authority.

3. Early Year Pupil Premiun	n Funding			
Total of Nursery Pupils	Number of Eligible Pupils	Number of Eligible Boys	Number of Eligible Girls	Total EYPP Budget
33	Hourly Rate £0.53 11 (3,323.10)	Hourly Rate £0.53 4 (£1,208.40)	Hourly Rate £0.53 7 (£2,114.70)	Hourly Rate £0.53 3,323.10

4. Pupil Premium Summary Information						
Total Number of Pupils (Inc. FTE)	170	Number of Pupils Eligible	68			
Total Pupil Premium Budget	£91,760	% of Pupils Eligible	36%			

5. 2018 - Outcomes															
KS1		PP Pupi	ls		Other		SCH	Year 6 - 6 pupils		PP Pupi	ls		Other		SCH
Year 2 - pupil	SCH	NA	DIF	SCH	NA	DIF	GAP		SCH	NA	DIF	SCH	NA	DIF	GAP
		2017			2017					2017			2017		
Expected Standard R	64	63	+1	70	79	-9	-15	Expected Standard R	86	59	+27	88	77	+11	+9
Expected Standard W	64	54	+10	70	72	-2	-8	Expected Standard W	86	66	+20	75	81	-6	+5
Expected Standard M	64	63	+1	80	78	+2	-14	Expected Standard GPS	100	66	+34	88	81	+7	+11
Year 1 Phonics	64	70	-6	81	83	-2	-19	Expected Standard M	86	63	+23	75	80	-5	+6
EYFS GLD	57	56	+1	68	73	-5	-16	Expected Standard RWM	71	47	+24	63	67	-4	+4

	In-school barriers	Desired Outcomes		
Α	Low levels on entry of PP pupils, particularly in communication, literacy and language.	Pupils eligible for PP make progress in line with national expectations, particularly in reading and writing.		
В	Progress of KS1 pupils who are eligible for PP making less than expected progress.	Pupils eligible for PP in KS1 make at least expected progress by the end of the year in order to meet age related expectations and close the gap further before end of Key Stage		
С	Low levels of resilience for some pupils (including those eligible for PP)	Levels of resilience will be improved, shown through improved attainment and progress.		
D	High numbers of PP pupils also have SEND including ASD, ADHD, Learning difficulties, mental health and attachment needs, impacting upon academic progress.	Termly reviews, Parent and pupil SEND reviews and school tracking systems will show at least expected progress. Pupils will be better equipped with strategies to change and control their behaviours/responses to situations. Pupils with SEND eligible for PP across school will make at least expected progress and close the gap further before the end of Key Stage		
E	Behavioural issues for a small group of pupils (mostly eligible for PP) are having a detrimental effect on their academic progress.	Fewer behaviour incidents recorded for these pupils on school systems impacting positively on progress and attitudes		
F	Progress of Upper KS2 pupils who are eligible for PP making less than expected progress.	Pupils eligible for PP in Upper KS2 to make at least expected progress by the end of the year in order to meet age related expectations and close the gap further before end of Key Stage 2		
G	Progress across school in writing for pupils who are eligible for PP making less than expected progress	Pupils eligible for PP make at least expected progress by the end of the year in order to meet age related expectations and close the gap further before end of Key Stage		
9. Ex	cternal Barriers to Future Attainment (issues which also req	uire action outside school, e.g. low attendance rates)		
	In-school barriers	Desired Outcomes		

Н	Safeguarding concerns. Unsettled family arrangements leading to social and emotional difficulties affecting learning.	Addressing safeguarding concerns and support for families will lead to emotional and behavioural regulation for PP pupils, allowing them to focus on school and make progress.
ı	Low attendance rates for some PP children	Improve overall PP attendance in line with national expectations.
J	Social deprivation	Provide subsidised breakfast and after school clubs which target PP pupils to ensure basic needs are met and to enrich wider opportunities. Parents/ carers will know how to access additional support.
K	Less engagement in home reading by adults and opportunities to support and value reading.	Increased engagement with parents to support home reading. Provide increased opportunities in school to support and enthuse a love of reading.
L	Parenting capacity – lack of routine, sleep, food, homework	Increased parental engagement ensuring that all children are supported and have their basic needs met.

1	10. Pupil Premium Planned Expenditure							
	Desired Outcomes	Action	Evidence Source	Expenditure	Baseline Data	Evaluation		
						(Autumn, Spring, Summer)		
A	Improve outcomes for Pupil Premium pupils in communication language and literacy	PD training for Early Years teachers to ensure Quality First Teaching.	The Teacher Development Trust – Developing Great Teaching – one of the key findings in this review states that professional development opportunities that are carefully designed and have	Early Years Training support 3 hours (x2)	43% of Pupil Premium pupils did not make good levels of development in Early Years	Autumn Term - Staff worked with Ann Brass to develop communication, language and literacy provision and teaching in Foundation Stage. Spring Term – Training implemented into planning and teaching for Early Years curriculum.		
			a string focus on pupil outcomes have a significant impact on student achievement	Cost: £600		Summer Term – 100% of PP pupils made expected or greater levels of development in CLL		

Α	Improve outcomes for	PECS training for	Findings from the Delaware	Training for TA (x	43% of Pupil	Autumn Term - Staff worked with SALT staff to
	Pupil Premium pupils in	Early years staff	Autistic Program found that 76%	2)	Premium pupils	be trained to use PECS in order to support
	communication language		of all children placed on PECS	_,	did not make good	children within the setting
	and literacy.		acquired speech as either their		levels of	Spring Term – Staff working daily with children
			sole communication system or		development in	to implement PECS
			augmented by a picture-based	Cost:	Early Years	Summer Term – 100% of PP pupils made
			system.	£350		expected or greater levels of development in
						CLL
Α	Improve outcomes for	ELKLAN Speech	Research carried out by Sheffied	Elklan Training	43% of Pupil	Autumn Term – Staff trained to use ELKLAN
' '	Pupil Premium pupils in	and Language	University found that children	for TA (x2)	Premium pupils	speech and language programme.
	communication language	training for Early	who received Talking Matters	, ,	did not make good	Spring Term – Children supported, through
	and literacy.	Years staff	support progressed by an		levels of	speech and language programme, to develop
	,		average of seven months in their		development in	early literacy skills.
			language development, whilst	Cost:	Early Years	Summer Term – 100% of PP pupils made
			children in the control group	£780		expected or greater levels of development in
			who did not receive the Talking			CLL
			Matters support progressed by			
			two months.			
В	Improve outcomes for	Reading books	EEF research suggests that	Pearson Phonics	36% of Pupil	Autumn Term – DHT met with Pearson rep to
	Pupil Premium pupils in	linked to Letters	Phonics approaches have been	reading	Premium pupils	look at reading resources suitable for KS1
	reading in Year 1 and 2	and Sounds	consistently found to be	programme	did not achieved	readers.
		phonics	effective in supporting younger		the expected	Spring Term – Resources for Early Star reader
		programme.	readers to master the basics of	Cost:	standard in	and Accelerated reader purchased for school
			reading, with an average impact	£5,350.00	reading by the end	Summer Term – 71.4% of PP pupils in Year 1
			of an additional four months'		of KS1.	and 63.6% of PP pupils in Year 2 achieved the
			progress. Research suggests that			expected standard in reading.
			phonics is particularly beneficial			
			for younger learners (4-7 year			
			olds) as they begin to read.			
В	Improve outcomes for	Staff training to	The Teacher Development Trust	2 x teachers	36% of Pupil	Autumn Term – DHT and TLR teacher attended
	Pupil Premium pupils in	develop reading	 Developing Great Teaching – 	attending	Premium pupils	training course for development of reading.
	reading in Year 1 and 2	progress across	one of the key findings in this	Reading	did not achieved	Spring Term – Staff meeting to discuss and
		school	review states that professional	development	the expected	identify progress in reading and development.
			development opportunities that	course	standard in	Summer Term – 71.4% of PP pupils in Year 1
			are carefully designed and have		reading by the end	and 63.6% of PP pupils in Year 2 achieved the
			a string focus on pupil outcomes		of KS1.	expected standard in reading.

			have a significant impact on student achievement	Cost: £562		
В	Improve outcomes for Pupil Premium pupils in Phonics test at the end of Year 1	SLA phonics training for teaching staff	EEF Research found that qualified teachers tend to get better results when delivering phonics interventions (up to twice the effectiveness of other staff), indicating that pedagogical expertise is a key component of successful teaching of early reading.	CPD Training for teaching staff Cost: Through SLA	36% of Pupil Premium pupils did not meet the required pass rate for the 2018 Phonics test.	Autumn Term – Training attended for phonics Spring Term – Rigorous teaching of phonics in FS2 and Year 1 Summer Term – 83.3% of PP pupils passed the phonics test.
В	Improve outcomes for Pupil Premium pupils in maths in Year 1 and 2	Staff training of White Rose maths resources	The Teacher Development Trust — Developing Great Teaching — one of the key findings in this review states that professional development opportunities that are carefully designed and have a string focus on pupil outcomes have a significant impact on student achievement	1 teacher attending White Rose training course Cost: £480	36% of Pupil Premium pupils did not achieved the expected standard in maths by the end of KS1.	Autumn Term – TLR teacher attended training for White Rose Maths Spring Term – Staff meeting to disseminate information from the course. Summer Term – 85.7% of PP pupils in Year 1 and 63.6% of PP pupils in Year 2 achieved the expected standard in maths.
С	Increased levels of resilience and independence for Pupil Premium pupils.	Whole school teaching of TALKABOUT programme, promoting independence, self esteem and resilience.	TALKABOUT allows schools to set social skills targets and measure and report on progress. Public Health England stated that schools have an opportunity to increase the resilience of the students they teach. There are a number of specific strategies that teach social and emotional skills as part of a wider strategy, which have shown some positive results; e.g. social and emotional learning (SEL) programmes	Staff training and TALKABOUT resources Cost: £760	Pupil questionnaires Wellbeing Wheels completed at the start of term	Autumn Term – Wellbeing wheels completed by all staff. Support and training offered to new members of staff. Spring Term – Staff continue to teach TALKABOUT programme each week. Summer Term – 100% of PP pupils made progress, as identified on Wellbeing wheels from September 2018-July 2019.

С	Increased levels of resilience and independence for Pupil Premium pupils.	Resilience courses at The Centre	On average, SEL interventions have an identifiable and valuable impact on attitudes to learning and social relationships in school. They also have an average overall impact of four months' additional progress on attainment. (EEF)	Centre courses Cost: £2000	Pupil questionnaires Wellbeing Wheels completed at the start of term	Autumn Term – Several children attended resilience programmes at The Centre Spring Term – Following closure of The Centre, Resilience programmes taught by Learning Mentor to individual/ groups of children. Summer Term – 100% of PP pupils made progress, as identified on Wellbeing wheels from September 2018-July 2019.
D	Ensure at least expected progress for PP pupils who also have SEND following individual pathways	Detailed intervention programme delivered by qualified teaching assistants	Small group and 1:1 interventions with highly qualified staff have shown be to effective (EEF Toolkit)	TA (x3) 60mins daily per week x38 Cost: £3,420	0% of Pupil Premium SEND pupils achieved expected standards by the end of KS1.	Autumn Term – Intervention groups planned and implemented for specific children. Evaluation of impact completed. Spring Term – Intervention groups planned and implemented for specific children. Evaluation of impact completed. Summer Term – 22.2% of PP SEND pupils achieved expected standard by the end of Year 2.
D	Address social, emotional and mental health issues experienced by some PP pupils	Therapeutic interventions delivered by qualified staff (Lego Therapy, Draw and Talk therapy)	On average, SEL interventions have an identifiable and valuable impact on attitudes to learning and social relationships in school. They also have an average overall impact of four months' additional progress on attainment. (EEF)	Learning Mentor 3 hours daily per week x 38 Cost: £10,260	Pupil questionnaires Wellbeing Wheels completed at the start of term	Autumn Term – Therapeutic interventions planned and implemented by Learning Mentor for specific children. Evaluation of impact completed. Spring Term – Therapeutic interventions planned and implemented by Learning Mentor for specific children. Evaluation of impact completed. Summer Term – Therapeutic interventions planned and implemented by Learning Mentor for specific children. Evaluation of impact completed.

D	Address social, emotional and mental health issues experienced by some PP pupils	Referrals to Emotional Wellbeing Team	On average, SEL interventions have an identifiable and valuable impact on attitudes to learning and social relationships in school. They also have an average overall impact of four months' additional progress on attainment. (EEF)	Referrals x3 Cost: £1,050	Pupil questionnaires Wellbeing Wheels completed at the start of term	Autumn Term – No referrals needed this term Spring Term – No referrals needed this term Summer Term – No referrals needed this term
D	Address social, emotional and mental health issues experienced by some PP pupils	Provide counselling support for relevant children	BACP states that counselling helps with behaviour and learning and can stop mental health problems from developing further.	Counselling Cost: Through SLA	Pupil questionnaires Wellbeing Wheels completed at the start of term	Autumn Term – Children attend weekly counselling sessions in order to support SEMH needs at home and in school. Spring Term – Children attend weekly counselling sessions in order to support SEMH needs at home and in school. Summer Term – Children attend weekly counselling sessions in order to support SEMH needs at home and in school.
D	Address social, emotional and mental health issues experienced by some PP pupils	Maintain Parent Support Advisor to support most vulnerable pupils and their families	Pupils will learn most effectively and make most progress when other issues have been addressed which may otherwise form a significant barrier to learning.	PSA (6 hours) Cost: £6,660	Pupil questionnaires Wellbeing Wheels completed at the start of term	Autumn Term – PSA supported several families in school. Spring Term – PSA supported several families in school. Summer Term – PSA supported several families in school.
D	Address social, emotional and mental health issues experienced by some PP pupils	Wellbeing, nurture and self esteem courses at the Centre.	On average, SEL interventions have an identifiable and valuable impact on attitudes to learning and social relationships in school. They also have an average overall impact of four months' additional progress on attainment. (EEF)	Centre courses Cost: £2000	Pupil questionnaires Wellbeing Wheels completed at the start of term	Autumn Term – Several children attended courses at The Centre to support wellbeing, nurture and self-esteem. Spring Term – Following closure of The Centre, wellbeing, nurture and self-esteem programmes taught by Learning Mentor to individual/ groups of children. Summer Term – Wellbeing programmes planned and implemented by Learning Mentor for specific children. Evaluation of impact completed.

Е	Address behavioural	Behaviour	Evidence suggests that offering	Centre courses	Exclusion records	Autumn Term – Several children attended
	issues displayed by a	intervention	more specialised programmes			courses at The Centre to support behaviour.
	small number of Pupil	courses at the	which are targeted at children		Major Incident	Spring Term – Following closure of The Centre,
	Premium pupils	Centre.	with either behavioural issues or		records	behaviour programmes taught by Learning
			behaviour and academic			Mentor to individual/ groups of children.
			problems, will have a positive	Cost:	Positive Handling	Summer Term – Behaviour programmes taught
			impact on attainment especially	£2000	log	by Learning Mentor to individual/ groups of
			for older children. (EEF)			children.
Е	Address behavioural	Inclusion provision	Evidence suggests that offering	Inclusion	Exclusion records	Autumn Term – Where needed, The Centre
	issues displayed by a	at the Centre.	more specialised programmes	provision		provision used for Inclusion of 1 pupil.
	small number of Pupil		which are targeted at children	'	Major Incident	Spring Term – Provision no longer available
	Premium pupils		with either behavioural issues or		records	Summer Term – Provision no longer available.
			behaviour and academic			School setting used for Inclusion of 1 pupil.
			problems, will have a positive		Positive Handling	
			impact on attainment especially	Cost:	log	
			for older children. (EEF)	£500		
Ε	Address behavioural	Use of CRISIS	Evidence suggests that offering	Behaviour	Exclusion records	Autumn Term – No referrals made to CRISIS
	issues displayed by a	support /	more specialised programmes	Support Service		this term
	small number of Pupil	behaviour panel to	which are targeted at children		Major Incident	Spring Term – No referrals made to CRISIS this
	Premium pupils	support most	with either behavioural issues or		records	term
		extreme	behaviour and academic			Summer Term – No referrals made to CRISIS
		behaviours	problems, will have a positive		Positive Handling	this term
		displayed	impact on attainment especially	Cost:	log	
			for older children. (EEF)	Through SLA		
E	Address behavioural	Use of Learning	EEF evidence suggests that, on	Learning mentor	Exclusion records	Autumn Term – Several children accessed
	issues displayed by a	Mentor to provide	average, behaviour interventions	support (2 hours		additional support for the school's Learning
	small number of Pupil	focussed support	can produce moderate	daily per week x	Major Incident	Mentor.
	Premium pupils	and interventions	improvements in academic	38)	records	Spring Term – Several children accessed
			performance along with a			additional support for the school's Learning
			decrease in problematic		Positive Handling	Mentor.
			behaviours.		log	Summer Term – Several children accessed
						additional support for the school's Learning
				Cost:		Mentor.
				£5,840		

F	Increase rates of	Accelerated reader	EEF toolkit suggests that on	Accelerated	37.5% of Pupil	Autumn Term – Accelerated reader being used
	progress for Pupil	Accelerated reader	average, reading comprehension	reader	Premium pupils in	effectively throughout KS2, showing an increase
	Premium pupils in Upper		approaches improve learning by	reauei	Year 6 and 40% of	in reading attainment for the majority of PP
	1					
	Key Stage 2.		an additional 5 months' progress		Year 5 pupils not	pupils.
			over the course of a school year.		on track to meet	Spring Term – Accelerated reader being used
			These approaches appear to be		expected	effectively throughout KS2, showing an increase
			even more effective for older		standards in	in reading attainment for the majority of PP
			readers (aged 8 years or above)	Cost:	reading by the end	pupils.
			who are not making expected	£900	of KS2	Summer Term – 81% of PP pupils achieved
			progress.			expected or greater standard in KS2 reading
						SATS.57.1% of PP pupils achieved expected or
						greater standard in reading by the end of Year
						5.
F	Increase rates of	After school	Sutton Trust Pupil Premium	2 x KS2 teachers	37.5% of Pupil	Autumn Term – Booster classes to begin in the
	progress for Pupil	Booster club run	Research:	(60 mins x 26	Premium pupils in	Spring Term.
	Premium pupils in Upper	by qualified KS2	Small Group Tuition: +4 months	weeks)	Year 6 and 40% of	Spring Term – Booster classes well attended by
	Key Stage 2.	teachers.	Stretch and encouragement for		Year 5 pupils not	Year 6 pupils.
			PP pupils to ensure they can		on track to meet	Summer Term – 75% of PP pupils achieved
			achieve expected and higher		expected	expected or greater standard in KS2 reading
			attainment standards.		standards in	and writing SATS.57.1% of PP pupils achieved
				Cost:	reading and	expected or greater standard in reading and
				£5,736	writing by the end	writing by the end of Year 5.
					of KS2	
F	Increase rates of	Intervention	Small group and 1:1	TA	37.5% of Pupil	Autumn Term – Intervention groups planned
	progress for Pupil	programme	interventions with highly	60mins daily per	Premium pupils in	and implemented for specific children.
	Premium pupils in Upper	delivered by	qualified staff have shown be to	week x38	Year 6 and 40% of	Evaluation of impact completed.
	Key Stage 2.	qualified teaching	effective (EEF Toolkit)		Year 5 pupils not	Spring Term – Intervention groups planned and
		assistants		Cost:	on track to meet	implemented for specific children. Evaluation of
				£1,140	expected	impact completed.
					standards in	Summer Term – 75% of PP pupils achieved
					reading and	expected or greater standard in KS2 reading
					writing by the end	and writing SATS.57.1% of PP pupils achieved
					of KS2	expected or greater standard in reading and
						writing by the end of Year 5.

G	Improve outcome across school in writing for all pupils, including those eligible for Pupil Premium funding.	Leader for Teaching and Learning working with LA moderator	The Teacher Development Trust — Developing Great Teaching — one of the key findings in this review states that professional development opportunities that are carefully designed and have a string focus on pupil outcomes have a significant impact on student achievement	TLR teacher release time 3 hrs each half term Cost: £638	Outcomes in writing for Pupil Premium children are below expectations. 50% of PP children in Year 2, and 37.5 of PP children in Year 6 are not on track to meet expected standards.	Autumn Term – TLR Lead worked with LA moderator to review and adapt curriculum to support improvement in writing. Spring Term – TLR Lead reviewing implementation of writing improvements throughout the school. Summer Term – 57.14% of PP pupils achieved expected or greater standard in KS1 writing. 80% of PP pupils achieved expected or greater standard in writing by the end of KS2.
G	Improve outcome across school in writing for all pupils, including those eligible for Pupil Premium funding.	Writing moderation with neighbouring school and LA	The agreement or moderation of assessment judgements is essential so that all those involved (e.g. teachers, pupils, parents and local authorities) can make effective use of the information. Moderation is used to ensure that the assessment judgements made for any one pupil are accurate, fair, comparable with those made for all other pupils in the same class, and consistent with those made in other classes and in other schools. (NfER)	Moderation Cost: Through SLA	Outcomes in writing for Pupil Premium children are below expectations. 50% of PP children in Year 2, and 37.5 of PP children in Year 6 are not on track to meet expected standards.	Autumn Term – Worked with LA moderator to assess writing progress in school. Spring Term – Internal writing moderation and work scrutiny held in school. Summer Term – 57.14% of PP pupils achieved expected or greater standard in KS1 writing. 80% of PP pupils achieved expected or greater standard in writing by the end of KS2.
G	Improve outcome across school in writing for all pupils, including those eligible for Pupil Premium funding.	Investment in Twinkl Handwriting resources	Joined, neat legible handwriting is an essential skill which must be taught to all children. A consistently high standard of writing is expected in order to foster a sense of pride and respect in work. Handwriting must be embedded across the curriculum, it must become an automatic process allowing	Twinkl subscription Cost: £300	Outcomes in writing for Pupil Premium children are below expectations. 50% of PP children in Year 2, and 37.5 of PP children in Year 6 are not on track to meet expected	Autumn Term – Regular handwriting lessons implemented in all year groups. Expectation that children should be joining handwriting in all written work, with most children now applying this. Spring Term – Regular handwriting lessons continue. All children in KS2 now joining writing. Majority of children in KS1 now joining their writing. Summer Term – 57.14% of PP pupils achieved

G	Improve outcome across school in writing for all pupils, including those eligible for Pupil	Handwriting materials (books)	children to record their thinking fluently and legibly. The National Curriculum makes a clear reference to handwriting and forms part of the expected standard at the end of KS1 and KS2. Joined, neat legible handwriting is an essential skill which must be taught to all children. A consistently high standard of	Handwriting materials	Outcomes in writing for Pupil Premium children are below	expected or greater standard in KS1 writing. 80% of PP pupils achieved expected or greater standard in writing by the end of KS2. Autumn Term – Regular handwriting lessons implemented in all year groups. Expectation that children should be joining handwriting in all written work, with most children now
	Premium funding.		writing is expected in order to foster a sense of pride and respect in work. Handwriting must be embedded across the curriculum, it must become an automatic process allowing children to record their thinking fluently and legibly. The National Curriculum makes a clear reference to handwriting and forms part of the expected standard at the end of KS1 and KS2.	Cost: £51	expectations. 50% of PP children in Year 2, and 37.5 of PP children in Year 6 are not on track to meet expected standards.	applying this. Spring Term – Regular handwriting lessons continue. All children in KS2 now joining writing. Majority of children in KS1 now joining their writing. Summer Term – 57.14% of PP pupils achieved expected or greater standard in KS1 writing. 80% of PP pupils achieved expected or greater standard in writing by the end of KS2.
Н	Safeguarding concerns identified and addressed quickly and effectively.	CPOMS	Schools play an essential role in protecting children for abuse. They have regular contact with children and young people so are in a strong position to identify signs of abuse and neglect (NSPCC) Additional support and concerns can be quickly identified and actions can be taken, e.g. meetings with parents, referrals to outside agencies.	CPOMS Cost: £645	Safeguarding concerns raised Number of Early Help Assessment forms submitted TAF, LAC and Child Protection meetings	Autumn Term – CPOMS being used by staff to report safeguarding concerns. Checked regularly by main keyholders. Spring Term – CPOMS being used by staff to report safeguarding concerns. Checked regularly by main keyholders. Summer Term – CPOMS being used by staff to report safeguarding concerns. Checked regularly by main keyholders.

Н	Support provided to	PSA to provide	Schools play an essential role in	PSA (6 hours)	Safeguarding	Autumn Term – PSA supported several families
П	Pupil Premium pupils and	support and advice	protecting children for abuse.	1 3/4 (0 110013)	concerns raised	in school. TAF meeting attended and First
	their families.	to parents, liaise	They have regular contact with		Concerns raiseu	Contact referrals made where needed.
	their families.	•	_		Number of Foult	
		with other	children and young people so are		Number of Early	Spring Term – PSA supported several families in
		agencies and	in a strong position to identify	Cost:	Help Assessment	school. TAF meeting attended and First Contact
		attend TAF	signs of abuse and neglect	£6,660	forms submitted	referrals made where needed.
		meetings.	(NSPCC)			Summer Term – PSA supported several families
			Families need support for social		TAF, LAC and Child	in school. TAF meeting attended and First
			and emotional issues – their own		Protection	Contact referrals made where needed.
			and that of their children. The		meetings	
			school's			
			PSA can provide support for			
			families, working towards more			
			positive outcomes.			
	Increased attendance	PSA to target poor	CEDAR states: "The proportion of	PSA (6 hours)	Persistent	Autumn Term – PSA monitoring attendance in
	and punctuality for	attendance rates	persistent absentees decreased		absentee rate for	school and taking appropriate action where
	pupils eligible for Pupil		by almost a quarter in schools		Pupil Premium	needed (e.g. letters/phone calls home, referrals
	Premium funding.		with a PSA." The PSAs' impact on		pupils was 23.3%.	to EWO)
			improving parents' engagement	Cost:	The percentage of	Spring Term – PSA monitoring attendance in
			with their children's learning,	£6,660	Pupil Premium	school and taking appropriate action where
			improved relationships between		absence is higher	needed (e.g. letters/phone calls home, referrals
			parents and the school and		than that of non-	to EWO)
			improved pupil attendance		pupil premium	Summer Term – Attendance at 94.23% for PP
			overall was also overwhelmingly		pupils (6.6%/	pupils. Persistent absence for PP pupils at
			positive.		4.0%)	2.23% and late attendance at 1.46%.
	Increased attendance	EAL support to	CEDAR states: "The proportion of	EAL Interpreter	Persistent	Autumn Term – EAL interpreter used effectively
"	and punctuality for	communicate with	persistent absentees decreased	(6 hours)	absentee rate for	to communicate with PP children's parents who
	pupils eligible for Pupil	families where	by almost a quarter in schools	,	Pupil Premium	have English as an additional language, to
	Premium funding.	English is an	with a PSA." The PSAs' impact on		pupils was 23.3%.	discuss attendance and punctuality issues.
		additional	improving parents' engagement		The percentage of	Spring Term – EAL interpreter used effectively
		language.	with their children's learning,	Cost:	Pupil Premium	to communicate with PP children's parents who
			improved relationships between	£240	absence is higher	have English as an additional language, to
			parents and the school and		than that of non-	discuss attendance and punctuality issues.
			improved pupil attendance		pupil premium	Summer Term – Attendance for EAL pupils at
			overall was also overwhelmingly		pupils (6.6%/	95.51%.
			positive.		4.0%)	35.52,31
			positive.		7.0/0]	

	Increased attendance	Attendance letters	CEDAR states: "The proportion of	Admin and	Persistent	Autumn Term – PSA monitoring attendance in
'	and punctuality for	sent home to	persistent absentees decreased	resource costs	absentee rate for	school. Letters sent home to parents to make
	pupils eligible for Pupil	parents	by almost a quarter in schools	16364166 66363	Pupil Premium	them aware of current attendance rates.
	Premium funding.	pa. 0	with a PSA." The PSAs' impact on		pupils was 23.3%.	Spring Term – PSA monitoring attendance in
	l remain randing.		improving parents' engagement		The percentage of	school. Letters sent home to parents to make
			with their children's learning,	Cost:	Pupil Premium	them aware of current attendance rates.
			improved relationships between	£200	absence is higher	Summer Term – Attendance at 94.23% for PP
			parents and the school and	2200	than that of non-	pupils. Persistent absence for PP pupils at
			improved pupil attendance		pupil premium	2.23% and late attendance at 1.46%.
			overall was also overwhelmingly		pupils (6.6%/	2.23/0 and late attendance at 1.40/0.
			positive.		4.0%)	
—	Increased attendance	Prizes for 100%	CEDAR states: "The proportion of	Prizes for	Persistent	Autumn Term – PSA monitoring attendance in
'	and punctuality for	attendance for	persistent absentees decreased	children (each	absentee rate for	school. Prizes awarded for children attaining
	pupils eligible for Pupil	pupils	by almost a quarter in schools	half-term)	Pupil Premium	100% attendance.
	Premium funding.	pupiis	with a PSA." The PSAs' impact on	man-term)	pupils was 23.3%.	Spring Term – PSA monitoring attendance in
	Treilliain randing.		improving parents' engagement		The percentage of	school. Prizes awarded for children attaining
			with their children's learning,		Pupil Premium	100% attendance.
			improved relationships between	Cost:	absence is higher	Summer Term – Attendance at 94.23% for PP
			parents and the school and	£360	than that of non-	pupils. Persistent absence for PP pupils at
			improved pupil attendance	1300	pupil premium	2.23% and late attendance at 1.46%.
			overall was also overwhelmingly		pupils (6.6%/	2.23% and late attenuance at 1.40%.
					4.0%)	
.	Increased attendance	Prizes for 100%	positive. CEDAR states: "The proportion of	Termly prize for	Persistent	Autumn Term – PSA monitoring attendance in
'	and punctuality for	attendance for	persistent absentees decreased	families (3x £20)	absentee rate for	school. Family prize awarded for children in
	1	families	by almost a quarter in schools	Tarrilles (5x £20)	Pupil Premium	family attaining 100% attendance.
	pupils eligible for Pupil Premium funding.	Tarrilles	with a PSA." The PSAs' impact on		pupils was 23.3%.	Spring Term – PSA monitoring attendance in
	Premium runding.		•			school. Family prize awarded for children in
			improving parents' engagement with their children's learning,	Cost:	The percentage of Pupil Premium	* *
			<u> </u>		•	family attaining 100% attendance.
			improved relationships between	£60	absence is higher	Summer Term – Attendance at 94.23% for PP
			parents and the school and		than that of non-	pupils. Persistent absence for PP pupils at
			improved pupil attendance		pupil premium	2.23% and late attendance at 1.46%.
			overall was also overwhelmingly		pupils (6.6%/	
			positive.		4.0%)	

ı	Provide support for low-	Subsidised	Breakfast clubs can have a highly	Subsidised	Parent	Autumn Term – High attendance of PP children
	income Pupil Premium	Breakfast club	positive effect on attendance	breakfast clubs	questionnaires	at breakfast club.
	pupils and their families.		and punctuality. They can also			Spring Term – High attendance of PP children
			have a positive impact on levels		Pupil	at breakfast club.
			of engagement, concentration		questionnaires	Summer Term – High attendance of PP children
			and behaviour for learning in	Cost:		at breakfast club.
			children. This can improve	£1,800	Meetings with	
			academic outcomes (School		parents	
			Food Trust)			
J	Provide support for low-	Subsidised After	EEF evidence indicates that, on	Subsidised after	Parent	Autumn Term – Several after school club
	income Pupil Premium	school provision	average, pupils make two	school clubs	questionnaires	opportunities offered to children. Reasonable
	pupils and their families.		additional months' progress per			level of uptake from PP children.
			year from targeted use of before		Pupil	Spring Term – Several after school club
			and after school programmes.		questionnaires	opportunities offered to children. Good level of
			There is some evidence that	Cost:		uptake from PP children.
			disadvantaged pupils benefit	£2,300	Meetings with	Summer Term – Several after school club
			more, making closer to three		parents	opportunities offered to children. Good level of
			months' additional progress.			uptake from PP children.
J	Provide support for low-	Ensure all aspects	Overall, studies of outdoor	Contribution	Parent	Autumn Term – School visits/visitors paid for
	income Pupil Premium	of the wider	learning experiences consistently	towards regular	questionnaires	from school budgets to ensure all children have
	pupils and their families.	curriculum are	show positive benefits on	school visits/		access to the wider curriculum.
		accessed, e.g.	academic learning. On average,	visits	Pupil	Spring Term – School visits/visitors paid for
		residential visits,	pupils who participate in		questionnaires	from school budgets to ensure all children have
		school trips.	outdoor learning experiences			access to the wider curriculum.
			make approximately four		Meetings with	Summer Term – School visits/visitors paid for
			additional months' progress.	Cost:	parents	from school budgets to ensure all children have
			There is also evidence of an	£10,000		access to the wider curriculum.
			impact on non-cognitive			
			outcomes such as self-			
			confidence. (EEF)			

K	Increased involvement of parents in supporting the reading of Pupil Premium pupils.	Reading Cafe	The association between parental engagement and a child's academic success is well established and there is a long history of research into parental engagement programmes. (EEF)	Teaching staff and refreshments Cost: £570	36% of Pupil Premium pupils did not achieved the expected standard in reading by the end of KS1.	Autumn Term – Reading café cancelled due to staff absence Spring Term – Reading café attended by 4 parents. Summer Term – 71.4% of PP pupils in Year 1 and 63.6% of PP pupils in Year 2 achieved the expected standard in reading.
K	Increased involvement of parents in supporting the reading of Pupil Premium pupils.	Increased range and availability of reading material linked to children's interests and understanding.	The association between parental engagement and a child's academic success is well established and there is a long history of research into parental engagement programmes.	Greater range and breadth of reading materials, particularly for Early Years/ KS1 Cost: £3,750	36% of Pupil Premium pupils did not achieved the expected standard in reading by the end of KS1.	Autumn Term – Reading materials purchased to support Accelerated reading scheme in school Spring Term – Reading materials purchased to support Accelerated reading scheme in school Summer Term – 71.4% of PP pupils in Year 1 and 63.6% of PP pupils in Year 2 achieved the expected standard in reading.
L	Increased parental involvement in school	Family learning events, Parent workshops, Class presentations and Celebration events	Two recent meta-analyses from the USA suggested that increasing parental engagement in primary and secondary schools had on average two to three months' positive impact. (EEF)	Staffing costs Cost: £1,021	Parent questionnaires Meetings with parents	Autumn Term —Family learning events, topic assembly and workshops poorly attended by parents. Celebration assembly attendance continues to be high. Spring Term — Four families have signed up to attend the family cookery course. Celebration assembly attendance continues to be high. Summer Term — Attendance at Family Friday events, Father's Day activities and celebration assembly has risen.
L	Parents encouraged to seek support in relation to home/school liaison	PSA support for parent/carers through signposting, referrals to Harbour, outside agency support	Two recent meta-analyses from the USA suggested that increasing parental engagement in primary and secondary schools had on average two to three months' positive impact. (EEF)	PSA (6 hours) Cost: £6,660	Parent questionnaires Meetings with parents	Autumn Term – PSA supported several families in school, providing signposting and referrals, where needed, to other agencies. Spring Term – PSA supported several families in school, providing signposting and referrals, where needed, to other agencies. Summer Term – PSA supported several families in school, providing signposting and referrals, where needed, to other agencies.

11	11. Budget Summary					
	Desired Outcome	Cost				
A	Pupils eligible for PP make progress in line with national expectations, particularly in reading and writing.	£1,730				
В	Pupils eligible for PP in KS1 make at least expected progress by the end of the year in order to meet age related expectations and close the gap further before end of Key Stage	£6,392				
С	Levels of resilience will be improved, shown through improved attainment and progress.	£2,760				
D	Termly reviews, Parent and pupil SEND reviews and school tracking systems will show at least expected progress. Pupils will be better equipped with strategies to change and control their behaviours/responses to situations. Pupils with SEND eligible for PP across school will make at least expected progress and close the gap further before the end of Key Stage	£23,390				
E	Fewer behaviour incidents recorded for these pupils on school systems impacting positively on progress and attitudes	£8,340				
F	Pupils eligible for PP in Upper KS2 to make at least expected progress by the end of the year in order to meet age related expectations and close the gap further before end of Key Stage 2	£7,776				
G	Pupils eligible for PP make at least expected progress by the end of the year in order to meet age related expectations and close the gap further before end of Key Stage	£989				
Н	Addressing safeguarding concerns and support for families will lead to emotional and behavioural regulation for PP pupils, allowing them to focus on school and make progress.	£7,305				
I	Improve overall PP attendance in line with national expectations.	£7,520				
J	Provide subsidised breakfast and after school clubs which target PP pupils to ensure basic needs are met and to enrich wider opportunities. Parents/ carers will know how to access additional	£14,100				

	support.	
K	Increased engagement with parents to support home reading. Provide increased opportunities in school to support and enthuse a love of reading.	£4,320
L	Increased parental engagement ensuring that all children are supported and have their basic needs met.	£7,681
	Total Budget Spent	£92,303

Additional Funding Supporting Provision
Notional SEND funding - £543

	Governance					
	Monitoring the Effectivene	ess & Impact of Pupil Premiun	n Performance			
Pupil Premium Governor: Mrs Heather	Airey					
Pupil Premium Committee Meeting	Autumn: October 2018	Spring:	Summer: July 2019			
Autumn Summary School currently has 68 PP pupils in school, and 33 pupils eligible for Early Years PP funding. Support offered from LA to create accurate and measurable PP strategy. School working as part of the LA PP working party. PP strategy has been created to identify current PP pupil issues and measures put in place to meet these needs. Spring Summary						
Summer Summary Outcomes shared with Governor.						
Review Date	July 2019					